MISSOURI STATE UNIVERSITY PERIODIC REVIEW OF REAPPPOINTMENT (OR RENEWAL OF CONTRACT), **TENURE, PROMOTION GUIDELINES DEPARTMENT:** Mathematics COLLEGE: CNAS 2023 SEMESTER/YEAR OF CURRENT REVIEW: SEMESTER/YEAR OF NEXT REQUIRED REVIEW: 2027 DEPARTMENT ADOPTION SIGNATURES: Som Department Personnel Committee Chair Date Department Head Date APPROVAL SIGNATURE Date Dean Ken Brown 02/23/2024 Date Provost THIS PLAN IS IN EFFECT FROM 2024, THROUGH 2027.

1	Department of Mathematics	
2	Promotion, Tenure, Annual Review, and Reappointment Policy S	Statement
3	Revised May 1, 2023	
4	Table of Contents	
5	Regular Performance Review	Line 23
6	Criteria for Tenure and Reappointment	35
7	Criteria for Promotion to Professor	108
8	Criteria for Promotion to Associate Professor	161
9	Guidelines for Annual Review of Probationary Faculty	220
10	Documentation	311
11	Procedure for Decisions	335
12	Policy for Appointment to Senior Instructor	389
13	This document contains an incomplete list of activities considered merit	orious by the
14	Department and is intended to be used to assist each faculty member in the	completion of
15	Department Performance Review Worksheet.	
16	The faculty shall be provided with a statement of expectations for reappoint	tment, tenure,
17	and promotion, upon employment. Evaluations will be based upon this state	ement, regular
18	reviews, and documentation presented by the candidate. Furthermore, ev	valuations are

based on performance in accumulated assignments since employment. 19

The criteria and procedures by which new faculty are to be evaluated for promotion and 20 tenure shall be stated in writing as specifically as possible in the initial appointment 21 contract letter (see Faculty Handbook, Section 3.2.2). 22

Regular Performance Review 23

Each year in early spring, each tenure-track faculty member will discuss with the 24 department head (1) the results of prior performance as reflected in the annual "Faculty 25 Performance Review" and (2) objectives of the forthcoming performance. Where 26

progressive performance expectations are pertinent, these will be specifically addressed. 27 The results of this meeting will be summarized in writing and placed in the departmental 28 personnel file, with copies provided to the faculty member and to the departmental tenure, 29 promotion, and reappointment committee for use during their deliberations. A copy will 30 also be forwarded to the dean. The Mathematics Department Performance Review 31 Worksheet is referred to throughout this document and is contained in the appendix. This 32 is to be used to maintain an online record through Faculty Success of each faculty 33 34 member's contributions to teaching, research, and service as specified below.

35 Criteria for Tenure and Reappointment

While tenure is based on previous performance in the areas of teaching, research, and 36 service, the granting of tenure involves some subjective judgement by the department 37 faculty. Section 3.7.2 of the Faculty Handbook elaborates this point and is quoted here. 38 "Basic competence in itself is not sufficient to justify granting tenure, for such competence 39 is a prerequisite for the initial appointment. The decision to grant tenure is inherently and 40 inescapably judgmental and is a deliberate action indicating the person has been selected 41 as a member of the permanent faculty because of demonstrated high-quality performance 42 43 and relative merit."

44 **Teaching:**

Teaching effectiveness is measured based on several factors, which include, but are not 45 46 limited to: Student evaluations of teaching, peer observation reports requested by the applicant or by the department head, course materials submitted to the committee or 47 48 reviewed by a tenured faculty member, developed curriculum materials, and the over-all contributions to the department in teaching. Course materials for review could include but 49 50 are not limited to syllabi/policy statements, LMS and online materials, as well as assignments, exams, and other course materials authored by the applicant. 51 Student 52 evaluations of teaching shall be collected according to CNAS guidelines. Student evaluations are not meant to be the primary or sole factor in documenting teaching 53 effectiveness. The rest of the teaching evaluation will be based on other contributions in 54 teaching which include, but are not limited to: seminar presentations to students; 55 involvement in special reading courses; use of computers and other needed technology; 56

manuals and textbooks published**; involvement in service learning activities; master's 57 theses directed**; master's seminar papers supervised**; honors components supervised; 58 guidance of students making presentations at meetings and seminars; innovative teaching 59 techniques adopted**; teaching awards received; students advised; new course 60 development**, course materials developed to promote student learning; equipment and 61 teaching grants received**. Items designated with a double asterisk are highly valued 62 teaching activities, and evidence documenting student success is also highly valued. The 63 64 Departmental Performance Review Worksheet details teaching activities and teaching outcomes relevant to the evaluation of teaching effectiveness, including required or basic 65 expectations. Tenure-track faculty members are expected to participate in several, but not 66 necessarily all, of the above-mentioned activities. 67

68 **Research and Scholarly Productivity:**

Research and scholarly productivity are expected to be in the faculty member's discipline 69 70 or to be interdisciplinary work that draws from, or contributes to, the faculty member's discipline. A record of consistent, sustained scholarly activities and productivity will be 71 72 needed for tenure and reappointment. The minimal expectations for consideration for tenure are 2 major publications of original work or major grants, and submission of at least 73 74 one external or internal grant proposal. Specific modes of research include Discovery, Application, Synthesis, Criticism, and Creation; see section 4.2.2.1 of the Faculty 75 76 Handbook.

Research achievements may include, but not be limited to, publications in refereed 77 journals**. The relative prestige of each journal, based on recognition by discipline-78 specific professional organizations, will be considered. Other scholarly activities include 79 research grants funded**; publication of a book**; presentations of scholarly work at 80 81 professional meetings; and seminars and colloquiums given. In evaluating the grants funded, the source of grants (internal or external) will be taken into consideration. In 82 evaluating the presentations, the relative prestige of the meeting, based on recognition by 83 discipline-specific professional organizations, and the nature of the presentations (whether 84 invited or not), will be taken into consideration. Items designated with a double asterisk 85 are highly valued scholarly activities. The Departmental Performance Review Worksheet 86

details research activities and research outcomes relevant to the evaluation of scholarly
productivity, including required or basic expectations.

Evidence of original scholarship/research will be expected for reappointment in later years 89 (after three years). The quantity of scholarly productivity may include presentations, but 90 those alone are not sufficient for reappointment in later years. The quantity of scholarly 91 productivity needed for tenure should include external grants or publications (published or 92 93 accepted) in refereed journals on research beyond the doctoral dissertation. External grants 94 and publications should be consistent with the description of scholarship/research described in section 4.2.2.2 of the Faculty Handbook. For faculty with prior experience, a 95 record of research and scholarly productivity at Missouri State University will be needed 96 for tenure. Positive external reviews of research and scholarly productivity will be one of 97 98 the factors in tenure consideration.

99 Service:

Faculty shall at minimum serve on departmental, college, and university committees askedof them, and participate in departmental faculty meetings.

Other activities, such as service to professional organizations, participation in Pummill Math Relays, student organizations, consulting, and community service, will be taken into consideration. The Departmental Performance Review Worksheet details service activities and service outcomes relevant to the evaluation of service contributions, including required, or basic expectations.

107

108 Criteria for Promotion to Professor

For promotion to Professor a faculty member must have a sustained record of teachingeffectiveness, of scholarly productivity, and of substantial service.

111 **Teaching:**

Teaching effectiveness is measured based on several factors, including student evaluations, course materials submitted to the committee, developed curriculum materials, and the overall contributions to the department in teaching. Student evaluations of teaching shall be collected according to CNAS guidelines. Student evaluations will be weighted up to a maximum of fifty percent in measuring teaching effectiveness. The rest of the weighting will be based on other contributions in teaching which include, but are not limited to:

seminar presentations to students; involvement in special reading courses; use of computers 118 and other needed technology; manuals and textbooks published**; involvement in service 119 learning activities; master's theses directed**; master's seminar papers supervised**; 120 guidance of students making presentations at meetings and seminars; innovative teaching 121 techniques adopted**; teaching awards received; students advised; new course 122 development^{**}, course materials developed to promote student learning; equipment and 123 teaching grants received**. Items designated with a double asterisk are highly valued 124 125 teaching activities, and evidence documenting student success is also highly valued. The Departmental Performance Review Worksheet details teaching activities and teaching 126 outcomes relevant to the evaluation of teaching effectiveness, including required or basic 127 expectations. For promotion to Professor, faculty members are expected to participate in 128 129 many, but not necessarily all, of the above-mentioned activities.

130 **Research and Scholarly Productivity:**

131 Research and scholarly productivity are expected to be in the faculty member's discipline or to be interdisciplinary work that draws from, or contributes to, the faculty member's 132 133 discipline. Consistent, sustained scholarly activities and productivity as an Associate Professor at Missouri State University will be needed for promotion to Professor. The 134 135 minimal expectations for consideration for promotion to Professor are 2 major publications of original work or major grants as an Associate Professor. Specific modes of research 136 137 included Discovery, Application, Synthesis, Criticism, and Creation; see section 4.2.2.1 of 138 the Faculty Handbook. Research achievements may include, but not be limited to, publications in refereed journals**. The relative prestige of each journal, based on 139 recognition by discipline- specific professional organizations, will be taken into account. 140 Other scholarly activities include research grants funded**; publication of a book**; 141 142 presentations of scholarly work at professional meetings; and seminars and colloquiums given. In evaluating the grants funded, the source of grants (internal or external) will be 143 taken into consideration. In evaluating the presentations, the relative prestige of the 144 meeting, based on recognition by discipline-specific professional organizations, and the 145 nature of the presentations (whether invited or not), will be taken into consideration. Items 146 designated with a double asterisk are highly valued scholarly activities. The Departmental 147 Performance Review Worksheet details research activities and research outcomes relevant 148

to the evaluation of scholarly productivity, including required or basic expectations.
Positive external reviews of original research and scholarly productivity will be one of the
factors in promotion consideration.

152 Service:

For promotion to Professor a faculty member must demonstrate leadership in some area of service. This may include department, college, university, professional, or community service. Expectations of leadership in service include, but are not limited to, chairing at least one major committee, serving as faculty sponsor for a student organization, or taking a leadership role in professional organizations or community service. The Departmental Performance Review Worksheet details service activities and service outcomes relevant to the evaluation of service contributions, including required, or basic expectations.

160

161 Criteria for Promotion to Associate Professor

For promotion to Associate Professor a faculty member must have a sustained record ofteaching effectiveness, of scholarly productivity, and of service.

164 **Teaching:**

Teaching effectiveness is measured based on several factors, including student evaluations, 165 166 course materials submitted to the committee, developed curriculum materials, and the overall contributions to the department in teaching. Student evaluations of teaching** shall be 167 168 collected according to CNAS guidelines. Student evaluations will be weighted up to a 169 maximum of fifty percent in measuring teaching effectiveness. The rest of the weighting will be based on other contributions in teaching which include, but are not limited to: 170 seminar presentations to students; involvement in special reading courses; use of computers 171 172 and other needed technology; manuals and textbooks published**; involvement in service learning activities; master's theses directed**; master's seminar papers supervised**; 173 honors components supervised; guidance of students making presentations at meetings and 174 seminars; innovative teaching techniques adopted**; teaching awards received; students 175 advised; new course development**, course materials developed to promote student 176 learning; equipment and teaching grants received**. Items designated with a double 177 asterisk are highly valued teaching activities, and evidence documenting student success is 178 also highly valued. The Departmental Performance Review Worksheet details teaching 179

activities and teaching outcomes relevant to the evaluation of teaching effectiveness, including required or basic expectations. For promotion to Associate Professor, faculty members are expected to participate in several, but not necessarily all, of the abovementioned activities.

184

185 **Research and Scholarly Productivity:**

Research and scholarly productivity are expected to be in the faculty member's discipline 186 187 or to be interdisciplinary work that draws from, or contributes to, the faculty member's discipline. A record of consistent, sustained scholarly activities and productivity at 188 Missouri State University will be needed for promotion to Associate Professor. The 189 minimal expectations for promotion to associate professor are 2 major publications of 190 191 original work or major grants and submission of at least one grant proposal. Specific modes of research included Discovery, Application, Synthesis, Criticism, and Creation; see 192 193 section 4.2.2.1 of the Faculty Handbook. For promotion to Associate Professor, the faculty member must have demonstrated sustained peer-reviewed scholarly productivity. 194

195 Research achievements may include, but not be limited to, publications in refereed journals^{**}. The relative prestige of each journal, based on recognition by discipline-196 197 specific professional organizations, will be considered. Other scholarly activities include research grants funded**; publication of a book**; presentations of scholarly work at 198 199 professional meetings; and seminars and colloquiums given. In evaluating the grants 200 funded, the source of grants (internal or external) will be taken into consideration. In evaluating the presentations, the relative prestige of the meeting, based on recognition by 201 discipline-specific professional organizations, and the nature of the presentations (whether 202 invited or not), will be taken into consideration. Items designated with a double asterisk 203 204 are highly valued scholarly activities. The Departmental Performance Review Worksheet details research activities and research outcomes relevant to the evaluation of scholarly 205 productivity, including required or basic expectations. Positive external reviews of original 206 research and scholarly productivity will be one of the factors in promotion consideration. 207

208 Service:

For promotion to Associate Professor, faculty members shall at minimum serve on departmental, college, and university committees asked of them, and participate in

departmental faculty meetings. Other activities, such as service to professional 211 organizations, participation in Pummill Math Relays, student organizations, consulting, 212 and community service, will be taken into consideration. Expectations of leadership in 213 service include, but are not limited to, chairing at least one committee, serving as faculty 214 sponsor for a student organization, or taking a leadership role in professional organizations 215 or community service. The Departmental Performance Review Worksheet details service 216 activities and service outcomes relevant to the evaluation of service contributions, 217 218 including required, or basic expectations.

219

220 Guidelines for Annual Review of Probationary Faculty

A review of probationary faculty will take place annually. The possible outcomes of this review will be one of the following.

223 (i) **Progress toward Tenure is Satisfactory**.

This is possible if a satisfactory level of performance has been achieved in at least the areas of both teaching and research, as described below.

226 Satisfactory Teaching:

227 In the area of teaching, less weight will be attached to the first-year teaching evaluations; 228 however, consistently good teaching evaluations (no consistent problems indicated by the teaching evaluations) or evidence of significantly improved teaching effectiveness is 229 necessary. Also, it is expected that there be substantial involvement in other teaching 230 231 activities such as: seminar presentations to students; involvement in special reading courses; use of computers and other needed technology; manuals and textbooks 232 published**; involvement in service learning activities; master's theses directed**; 233 master's seminar papers supervised**; honors components supervised; guidance of 234 students making presentations at meetings and seminars; innovative teaching techniques 235 adopted**; teaching awards received; students advised; new course development**, course 236 developed to promote student learning; equipment and teaching grants 237 materials received**. Items designated with a double asterisk are highly valued teaching activities. 238 239 See the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation 240 of teaching.

241

242 Satisfactory Research and Scholarly Productivity:

In the area of research and scholarly productivity, a significant record of articles published or accepted for publication in refereed journals, and research grants funded will be considered evidence of satisfactory research. Other scholarly activities that will be considered favorably include grant proposals submitted; presentations of scholarly work at professional meetings; seminars and colloquiums given, and work in progress for publishable research beyond the dissertation. See the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of research.

250 Satisfactory Service:

Faculty shall have a significant record of service that includes departmental, college, and/or university committees. Other activities such as service to professional organizations, participation in Pummill Math Relays, student organizations, consulting and community service will be taken into consideration. See the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of service.

256 (ii) Progress toward Tenure is Questionable.

This is possible if a questionable level of performance has been achieved in at least two of the areas of teaching, research, and service, as described below.

259 **Questionable Teaching**:

In the area of teaching, less weight will be attached to the first-year teaching evaluations; 260 however, consistently satisfactory teaching evaluations (no consistent problems indicated 261 262 by the teaching evaluations) or evidence of improved teaching effectiveness is necessary. Also, favorable consideration will be given for involvement in other teaching activities 263 such as: seminar presentations to students; involvement in special reading courses; use of 264 computers and other needed technology; manuals and textbooks published**; involvement 265 in service learning activities; master's theses directed**; master's seminar papers 266 supervised^{**}; honors components supervised; guidance of students making presentations 267 at meetings and seminars; innovative teaching techniques adopted**; teaching awards 268 received; students advised; new course development**, course materials developed to 269 promote student learning; equipment and teaching grants received**. Items designated 270 271 with a double asterisk are highly valued teaching activities. See the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of teaching. 272

273 Questionable Research and Scholarly Productivity:

In the area of research and scholarly productivity, articles published or accepted for publication in refereed journals, and research grants funded will be considered sufficient evidence of satisfactory research. Other scholarly activities that will be considered favorably include grant proposals submitted; presentations of scholarly work at professional meetings; seminars and colloquiums given; and work in progress for publishable research beyond the dissertation. See the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of research.

281 Questionable Service:

In the area of service, faculty shall at minimum serve on the departmental, college, and university committees asked of them, and participate in departmental faculty meetings. Other activities such as service to professional organizations, participation in Pummill Math Relays, student organizations, consulting and community service will be taken into consideration. See the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of service.

288 (iii) Progress Toward Tenure is Unsatisfactory.

This is possible if an unsatisfactory level of performance has been achieved in at least two of the areas of teaching, research, and service, as described below.

291 Unsatisfactory Teaching:

Teaching is unsatisfactory if the faculty member is receiving consistently poor teaching evaluations and there is little or no evidence of improved teaching effectiveness through measures as peer review reports, peer mentor feedback or other external measures of teaching effectiveness. See the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of teaching.

297 Unsatisfactory Research and Scholarly Productivity:

Progress toward tenure is unsatisfactory if there is limited or no evidence of research. See
the Departmental Performance Review Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of
research.

Unsatisfactory Service: Service is unsatisfactory if the faculty member has limited or no
 involvement in departmental activities. See the Departmental Performance Review
 Worksheet for details regarding the evaluation of service.

Early Tenure and Promotion:

Except under extraordinary circumstances, early tenure and promotion will not be considered. For example, a faculty member may be considered for early tenure if, while at Missouri State University, multiple highly-valued teaching activities and outcomes have been documented, three papers in refereed journals were published, an external grant was awarded, and leadership roles for internal and external service activities are documented.

310

311 **Documentation**

The faculty member and the department head shall maintain complete documentation for 312 all aspects of that faculty member's annual reappointment, tenure and promotion. This 313 requirement shall begin at the date of employment. This documentation is a professional 314 315 portfolio which shall include, but not be limited to: letters of understanding at the time of hire; annual reports from the departmental evaluation committee; annual performance 316 317 evaluation letters from the department head; all prior recommendations made by the departmental Tenure, Promotion and Reappointment Committee; all prior 318 319 recommendations made by the department head; summaries of all student evaluations; copies of publications in refereed journals and other scholarly activities; copies of 320 321 proposals submitted and grants received; list of committee assignments; and participation in faculty developmental activities. All of these data will be maintained via Faculty 322 323 Success.

At the time of annual review, tenure, or promotion consideration, the faculty member should refer to the Provost's website for procedures and details regarding required supporting documentation. The department head may request additional supporting materials be submitted.

For tenure and/or promotion consideration, external reviews of research and scholarly productivity will be solicited and conform to the guidelines from the Office of the Provost. The external reviewer will be instructed to review the curriculum vitae and samples of work. Information on the candidate's teaching load should be provided to the reviewer. Only work that is eligible for consideration under the terms of appointment should be submitted to reviewers.

334

335 **Procedure for Tenure, Promotion, Annual Review, and Reappointment Decisions**

The entire body of tenured faculty shall serve as the committee on tenure and reappointment. For a promotion to a given rank, the tenured faculty at that rank or higher shall serve as the committee on promotion to that rank.

In accordance with the Faculty Handbook, Section 4.8.3, "Tenured faculty members who have administrative assignments that require them to participate in personnel review at a higher level shall not participate in personnel decisions within his or her home department. A faculty member with a potential conflict of interest (usually evaluating a spouse) should not participate in the evaluation process for annual appointment, tenure, or promotion. Inappropriate actions by individuals on the committee should be addressed by the committee chair and/or members of the personnel committee."

As soon as feasible after the beginning of the fall semester, the department head shall call a meeting of the tenured faculty to elect its chairperson. At the meeting, the tenured faculty committee shall elect a person from the tenured Professors to serve as the chairperson of departmental committee on tenure, promotion, and reappointment for the current academic year.

As soon as possible, the chair of the committee will distribute copies of the current procedures and the relevant portion of the Academic Work Calendar to all faculty.

All votes concerning tenure, promotion, reappointment, or annual review decisions shall be conducted by secret ballot, and the department head shall not vote. Numbered ballots shall be used, which the faculty will draw at random, and the ballots shall be returned to a designated place or box within the time period designated by the committee chairperson. The ballots will be counted at a meeting of the committee. Each committee member shall have the right to participate in the voting.

For tenure, promotion, or reappointment each ballot will offer two options: YES or NO. Ballots not returned shall simply be reported as "not returned." The number of YES votes must exceed the number of NO votes for an affirmative recommendation to be reported; otherwise, a negative recommendation will be reported.

For annual review of probationary faculty, there will be three options on the ballot: progress toward tenure is satisfactory, progress toward tenure is questionable, and progress toward tenure is unsatisfactory. To be classified into the outcome "progress toward tenure is satisfactory", at least two-thirds of the votes should be in that group; if more than fifty percent of the votes are in favor of "unsatisfactory", the faculty member will be classified into the group "progress toward tenure is unsatisfactory"; otherwise, the outcome will be classified as "progress toward tenure is questionable".

All available committee members shall sign the report. Faculty members are free to fileminority reports.

The committee shall, when possible, meet at least three weeks prior to the deadline for forwarding the recommendations to the department head. Copies of all reviews will be provided to the faculty member and the department head. The department head will forward a copy to the dean along with the department head's review.

376 When external reviews of research and scholarly productivity are solicited, the department

377 will follow the guidelines posted on the provost's website:

378 <u>http://www.missouristate.edu/provost/extreviewerguidelines.htm</u>

Specifically, following the guidelines regarding qualifications of reviews given at the above site, the faculty candidate submits a list of four names of potential reviewers and the Department Head in consultation with the Department Personnel Committee submits a list of four names. Together, the faculty candidate, Department Head, and Personnel Committee select two names from each list and reviews will be solicited from these four individuals by the Department Head.

A file will be established for each candidate. The file will contain all materials submitted by the candidate, and the materials collected by the department head for this purpose. The

file will be available for inspection in the department head's office by all eligible voters.

388

389 **Policy for Appointment to Senior Instructor**

The following process outlines the steps which lead to the appointment to Senior Instructor as presented in the revised *Faculty Handbook* (see relevant section below):

Instructors are eligible to apply for appointment to Senior Instructor in the fall semester of their 5th year of continuous employment with the university. Number of years is not an entitlement for this promotion and judgments will be made at all levels based on the standards for excellence in teaching as measured by departmental criteria developed in accord with the faculty handbook and 397 university parameters.

- The criteria for reviewing applications for promotion to the rank of Senior
 Instructor must include three elements: (1) evidence of successful student learning
 outcomes; (2) use of effective modalities (active learning, inquiry learning,
 collaborative learning, etc.); and (3) leadership in curriculum development,
 advising, and/or other areas of service.
- 2. The teaching portfolio will be submitted to the departmental personnel committee 403 404 for review in accord with the dates specified in the tenure and promotion calendar. The portfolio should include supporting documentation of sustained 405 406 excellence in teaching. The evidence may include, but not be limited to, student course evaluations, peer evaluations, artifacts of curricular development, student 407 408 learning outcomes, and other supporting documentation included as part of the written summary detailing rationale for the appointment in accord with 409 410 departmental criteria. External review is not a requirement of this application process. 411
- 412 3. The departmental personnel committee will submit recommendations to the 413 Department Head in accord with the timelines specified in the Tenure and 414 Promotion Calendar. The Department Head will review all relevant information 415 and make a recommendation to the Dean, who will also conduct a review and 416 forward recommendations to the provost. The provost will notify the candidate for 417 the appointment to Senior Instructor in writing of approval or non-approval of the 418 appointment.
- 419

420 (Excerpt from the *Faculty Handbook*, Section 3.5.2 Senior Instructor:

An instructor who has demonstrated excellence in teaching and service at Missouri State University for at least five years may be appointed as a Senior Instructor. Senior Instructors are expected to provide leadership in teaching, contribute to course and curriculum development and provide appropriate university service. Senior Instructors may participate in research or creative activities. A Senior Instructor shall be appointed to a specific term not to exceed five years and may be reappointed to one or more additional terms, contingent upon satisfactory performance reviews, educational needs and continued funding. If a 428 Senior Instructor applies for and is appointed to a tenure-track faculty position, the time 429 spent as Senior Instructor at Missouri State University will not count toward the

- 430 probationary period for tenure and promotion. Senior Instructors on 9-month appointments
- 431 will receive benefits for12-months.)

432 **Procedure for Annual Review of this Document**

433 As soon as feasible after a chair is elected, the tenured faculty will elect a standing

434 committee of three tenured faculty members. This committee will propose amendments to

- the policy statement and report to the tenured faculty before the end of the Spring Semester
- 436 of each year.

Na	Name:		
	RTP Appendix: Performance Review Worksheet- Mathematics		
Cr	Criteria for meeting basic expectations are asterisked*. Highly valued activities and		
	outcomes are doubly asterisked**.		
	Teaching Activities		
*	1. Classroom teaching; meeting classes reliably		
*	2. Adhere to university policy		
*	3. Provide fair and timely feedback to students.		
*	4. Competently communicated appropriate material		
	to classes.		
	5. Teaching large number of students and contact		
	hours.		
	6. Teaching courses requiring intensive grading of		
	student work.		
	7. Supervision of teaching assistants.		
	8. Supervision of pre-service teachers.		
	9. Academic advising of undergraduate students,		
	including pre-engineering advising.		
*	10. Maintaining office hours.		
	11. Supervision of a graduate student.		
	12. Member of an MSU graduate student thesis or		
	seminar committee, or as an external member of a		
	graduate student thesis or seminar committee,		
	particularly when the faculty member provides		
	significant guidance to the graduate student. This activity may alternately be included under service		
	(University service for an MSU student, Service to		
	professional peers for an external student).		
**	13. Development of a new course, including		
	special readings courses with extensive		
	development requirements.		
	14. Taught courses not taught previously.		
	15. Gave special readings courses.		
	16. Supervision of independent study.		
	17. Preparation or revision of educational materials		
	such as textbooks, lab manuals, class handouts,		
	educational software, and educational websites,		
	and related efforts such as preparation of a grant		
	or contract proposal to support these activities.		
	These activities may alternately be considered as		
	research activities if they involve a significant		
	research component. 18. Improvement in course/curriculum content,		
	including significant revisions to a course's content.		
**	19. Incorporation of innovative pedagogical		
	techniques or technologies.		
	20. Faculty development in the area of teaching		
	(participation in workshops, seminars, institutes, or		
	meetings to develop teaching or advising skills).		
	21. Supervision of undergraduate research.		
	22. Guest lecturing in classes that are not your		
	official responsibility, including substituting.		
	23. Made seminar presentations to students.		
	24. Incorporation of additional topics or exercises		
	for an honors section, for students in a regular		
	section taking the course for honors credit, or for		
	graduate students in a combined undergraduate		
	level-graduate level class.		
	25. Providing help/tutorial sessions outside of		
	scheduled contact hours.		

	00 A location and a second state of a second	
	26. Administration or supervision of service	
	learning or cooperative education opportunities,	
	and supervision of interns.	
	27. Organizing and/or conducting educational field	
	trips for students, especially for the first time.	
	28. Presentation at a workshop or conference on	
	teaching.	
	29. Preparation and submission of a grant proposal	
	to support or enhance teaching or academic	
	advising.	
	30. Administration of a grant received for the	
	support or enhancement of teaching or academic	
	advising.	
	31. Development of assessment tools to support	
	teaching effectiveness (e.g., placement exams).	
	32. Prepared students for math contests.	
	33. Other (must justify recognition as a teaching	
	activity).	
	Teaching Outcomes	
*	1. Course policy statements and outlines.	
**	2. Receipt of excellent student evaluation scores.	
**		
^	3. Completion of a graduate thesis or seminar	
	paper under your supervision.	
**	4. Textbooks, text chapters, lab manuals, and	
	class handouts. Publication of a textbook may	
	alternately be considered under research if the	
	preparation of the text involved a significant	
	research component, and especially if the text	
	could also serve as a professional reference.	
	5. Receipt of a grant to support textbook or lab	
	manual. This may alternately be included under	
	Research if the text or lab manual itself would be	
	evaluated under research.	
	6. Educational software or websites prepared.	
	7. Receipt of a teaching award (as a classroom	
	teacher or thesis advisor).	
	8. Receipt of an award for academic advising.	
**	9. Receipt of a grant to support or enhance	
	teaching or academic advising.	
	10. Presentation by a student (graduate or	
	undergraduate) mentored by you.	
<u> </u>	11. Publication by a student (graduate or	
1		
1	undergraduate) mentored by you, on which you are	
	not an author.	
	12. Recognition or award received by a student for	
1	whom you provided significant mentoring (thesis	
<u> </u>	award, etc.).	
	13. Other (must justify relevance as an indicator of	
L	teaching effectiveness).	
	Research Activities	
*	1. Scholarly activity that has the potential to lead	
	to critical peer review or evaluation by the scholarly	
	community.	
	2. Faculty development in the area of research:	
	 Participation in workshops, seminars, colloquia, 	
1	professional meetings, etc. for the purpose of	
1	enhancing research skills, refining research	
1		
<u> </u>	questions, or improving research knowledge base.	
1	 Internship, apprenticeship, or collaborative work 	
	with other professional researchers (at MSU or	

	elsewhere) for the purpose of learning new	
	research skills. The new skills and their value must	
	be described.	
	Maintenance or acquisition of expertise in areas	
	of professional research interest by reading	
	professional journals and monographs.	
	3. Preparation of a grant or contract proposal for	
	funding to support research (including teaching	
	research) or other scholarly activity.	
	4. Administration of a grant or contract received for	
	research (including teaching research) or other	
	scholarly activity.	
	5. Evidence of research activity (including teaching	
	research or research related to supervising master	
	theses or seminar papers), including evidence of	
	research in progress, or preparation or submission	
	of a manuscript for the publication of research	
	results.	
	6. Preparation of a new text, or revision of a	
	previously published text, and related activities	
	such as preparation of a grant or contract proposal	
	to support those activities, where that activity	
	involves a significant research component.	
	7. Submitted articles to conferences and/or	
	journals for publication.	
	8. Participated in professional development of	
	others as part of research activity.	
	9. Other research activities (must justify).	
	Research Outcomes	
**	1. Publication of original results, or a survey or	·
	expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-	
	expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer- reviewed professional journal, conference	
	expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer- reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report.	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or 	
**	expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer- reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report.	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer- 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting, either orally or by poster. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting, either orally or by poster. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting, either orally or by poster. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 8. Research presentation (seminar) at an 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 8. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 8. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. 9. Citations to your research in scholarly 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 8. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. 9. Citations to your research in scholarly publications by persons other than yourself and co- 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting, either orally or by poster. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 8. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. 9. Citations to your research in scholarly publications by persons other than yourself and co-authors. 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 8. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. 9. Citations to your research in scholarly publications by persons other than yourself and co- 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting, either orally or by poster. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. Citations to your research in scholarly publications by persons other than yourself and coauthors. Research (including teaching research) grant 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. 2. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. 3. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. 4. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. 5. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. 6. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting, either orally or by poster. 7. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. If peer-reviewed, the nature of the review process must be described. 8. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. 9. Citations to your research in scholarly publications by persons other than yourself and co-authors. 10. Research (including teaching research) grant or contract proposal submitted to a local, state, 	
**	 expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed professional journal, conference proceedings, or report. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, in a peer-reviewed book or monograph intended for a professional audience. Publication of original results, or a survey or expository work, as author or coauthor, by invitation in an edited professional volume. Book review or letter to the editor that contributes original research results or synthesis of results. The novel research component must be explained and justified. Invited presentation of research results at a professional meeting. Presentation of original results at a professional meeting, either orally or by poster. Co-authorship on a presentation of original results at a professional meeting. Research presentation (seminar) at an academic or research institution. Citations to your research in scholarly publications by persons other than yourself and coauthors. Research (including teaching research) grant 	

**	11. Research (including teaching research) grant	
	or contract received.	
	12. Receipt of a scholarship, fellowship, internship,	
	or endowment to provide research opportunities	
	(sabbatical leave, summer fellowship, endowed	
	professorship, etc.).	
	13. An honorary research award, including election	
	to honorary professional societies.	
	14. Editorials, commentaries, or book reviews	
	featuring your research, that were contributed to	
	professional or popular journals by persons other	
**	than yourself, co-authors, or collaborators.	
	15. Publication of a new text, or publication of a	
	revised text, where the text reflects significant	
	research, especially when the text may serve as a professional reference.	
	16. Receipt of a grant or contract to support	
	publication of a new or revised text, where the text itself would be considered under research.	
	17. Other (must justify relevance as an indicator of	
	research and scholarly productivity).	
	Service Activities	
	Service to the University	
*	1. Perform the service assigned to them by the	
	department head.	
	2. Chairperson of a committee or council of the	
	department, college, or university.	
	3. Member of a committee or council of the	
	department, college, or university.	
	4. Non-classroom and non-committee	
	departmental assignments. Examples include, but	
	are not limited to: graduate student coordinator; secondary education coordinator; monitoring of	
	dual-enrollment courses, late common finals, or	
	comprehensive exams; computer lab network	
	manager; webmaster.	
	5. Member of an MSU graduate student thesis	
	committee. This activity may alternately be	
	evaluated under teaching, particularly when the	
	faculty member provides significant guidance to the	
	graduate student.	
	6. Service as a faculty mentor.	
	7. Sponsorship of student organizations or clubs	
	(KME, MAA, etc.)	
	8. Preparation of grant proposals to support	
	service activities.	
	9. Administration of a grant received to support	
	service activities.	
	10. Faculty development in the area of service	
	(participation in workshops, meetings, symposia,	
	etc. designed to build or enhance service skills).	
	11. Participation in university promotional activities	
	(fund solicitation, etc.).	
	12. Student recruitment activities (Majors fair,	
	recruitment trips to local high schools and colleges,	
	etc.).	
	13. Conduct peer-review of teaching effectiveness	
	for a colleague.	
	14. Contribution toward program or departmental	
	accreditation.	

	15. Other University service activities (must justify).	
	Service to Professional Peers	
	1. Service to professional societies and	
	organizations as an officer, committee member,	
	evaluator, meeting organizer, symposium	
	organizer, meeting host, panel member,	
	organization evaluator etc.	
	2. Editorial work for a professional journal.	
	3. Editorial work on a professional book.	
	4. Peer-reviewing of manuscripts submitted to	
	professional journals or edited volumes.	
	5. Peer-reviewing of grant proposals submitted to	
	external funding agencies.	
	6. External member of graduate student thesis or	
	seminar committee. This activity may alternatively	
	be evaluated under teaching, particularly when the	
	faculty member provides significant guidance to the	
	graduate student.	
	7. Preparation of software or a website that is a	
	useful resource for peers in the areas of service	
	and/or research.	
	8. Member of a site-visit team for the accreditation	
	of an academic program at another institution.	
	9. External reviewer of a promotion and/or tenure	
	application for a faculty member at another	
	institution.	
	10. Organize or lead a scholarly field trip for an	
	external audience.	
	11. Other professional service activities (must	
	justify).	
	Service to the Community	
	1. Service to the public education system beyond	
	MSU, such as: presentations in local schools;	
	organization or presentation of workshops for	
	primary and secondary students; participation in	
	science fairs and math contests such as the	
	Pummill Math Relays, the Missouri Mathematics	
	Competition, the Putnam exams.	
	2. Public speaking engagements related to your	
	professional expertise and duties.	
	3. Service as a board member or officer of a	
	private organization whose mission is	
	complementary to that of the university or the	
	department.	
	4. Participation in television, radio, or print media	
	interviews that relate to professional duties.	
	5. Use professional expertise to serve as a	
	member of a public service board, regulatory	
	agency, planning committee, or professional	
	registration commission of state, regional, national,	
	or international scope.	
	6. Non-compensated professional consultation	
	that is outside of formally assigned committee or	
	advisory duties and is appropriate to the discipline.	
	Examples include fielding phone calls from the	
	general public, providing statistical advice,	
	providing expert testimony, outreach to the public	
	at large regarding mathematical topics, etc.7. Other community service activities (must justify).	
		<u> </u>
1	Service outcomes	

1. Service awards from the college or university,	
for service involving professional expertise.	
2. Awards for meritorious service to external	
societies or organizations, for service involving	
professional expertise.	
3. Written evaluations received that address the	
quality of, or the effort devoted to, service to the	
department, college, or university. Such	
evaluations should come from colleagues who	
supervised or cooperated in service activities, and	
may be solicited or unsolicited.	
4. Popular articles or books directly related to your	
professional expertise or duties.	
5. Materials designed to enhance the teaching,	
service, or research effectiveness of professional	
peers, such as special collections, computer	
programs, web sites, and improved technologies,	
provided that no profit is realized.	
6. Professional book or monograph for which you	
are an editor or co-editor.	
7. Published book review that draws upon your	
professional expertise.	
8. Other service outcomes (must justify).	