# Introduction to the Department of Nursing Merit Pay Plan

The Department of Nursing bases its merit pay plan on the following premises: 1) The Department of nursing faculty comprises an extremely productive, and diverse group of persons involved in demanding programs with differing goals and needs. 2) Both clinical expertise and traditional academic expertise are necessary for the department to achieve excellence in nursing education. 3) Individuals in the Department of Nursing may meet criteria for merit in a variety of ways. Building on these premises, the Department of Nursing has developed a plan that recognizes and honors the value of the diverse roles assigned to members of the faculty.

Minimum competency requirements are listed first. The Department Head will review documentation related to these items, as well as other information that may be available only to the Department Head, and will indicate at the bottom of the sheet whether the individual does or does not meet job requirements necessary for a rating of at least 3.

Criteria for merit follow the minimum competency sheet and are organized under three levels of accomplishment: bronze, reflecting basic elements; silver, encompassing more advanced activities; and gold delineating superior achievement. The document that follows lists possible activities at each level. At each level there is opportunity for faculty to document and provide rationale for other meritorious accomplishments. The criteria listed are not requirements for each level, but rather a compilation of items faculty in different roles may achieve at that level. Not all items on the lists for bronze, silver and gold levels may be achievable in a particular faculty role. Even outstanding faculty may accomplish only a few of the silver and gold criteria in a given year.

#### **Guidelines for Documentation**

- 1. Use the "other" category at the bottom of each section to identify an activity that is not specified in the merit grid.
- 2. In the Rationale column, provide a brief description of the activity and note the page number where this information can be found in your supporting documentation, if applicable.
- 3. The Rationale column also can be used to provide justification for a higher point allocation for any item.

### **Guidelines for Rating**

- 1. Count activities only once in either teaching, scholarship, or service.

  For example, a grant for a teaching project with a community service component would be credited under teaching or under possibly service, but not both.
- 2. Count similar activities within a single category of teaching, research or service only once. For example, if a manuscript is published, give credit for the publication only, not for both the submitting of the manuscript and the publication.

#### **PROCEDURES**

### **Individual Faculty Member:**

All full-time, tenured and tenure-track faculty are eligible for merit and should submit documentation for merit pay as follows:

- 1. In consultation with the Department Head, submit a faculty performance worksheet with weighted % allocated to the areas of Teaching, Scholarship, and Service.
- 2. Complete the merit criteria grids for Teaching, Scholarship, and Service for the calendar vear.
- 3. Compile a portfolio to document activities that meet the criteria you identified in the merit grids.
- 4. In the Rationale column, identify the specific activities and page of the portfolio where supporting documentation can be found in your portfolio, as needed.
- 5. Provide rationale for additional items not included in the grid and/or rationale for exceptions to the point allocation in the Rationale column.
- 6. Submit your merit grid, CV, and portfolio to the Department of Nursing Personnel Committee for review. Do not submit the faculty performance worksheet or the weighted percentages allocated to teaching, scholarship and service to the Personnel Committee.

### **Department Personnel Committee:**

- 1. Faculty evaluation for merit will be initiated by the Department Personnel Committee which is comprised of all tenured faculty in the Department of Nursing.
- 2. Members of the Personnel Committee will review documentation for merit for all faculty who have met minimum competency criteria (see below). The committee members will submit point tallies for the faculty member in each area; Teaching, Scholarship, and Service.
- 3. The Personnel Committee will submit the individual point tallies for each faculty member evaluated along with the average rating in each area (Teaching, Scholarship, and Service) to the Department Head.

### **Department Head:**

- 1. The Department Head determines if the faculty member has met minimum competency criteria, signs and places the form in the faculty member's portfolio. If a faculty member does not meet the minimum competency criteria, a rating of (2) "development needed" or a rating of (1) "unsatisfactory" will be assigned by the Department Head. Faculty who do not meet minimum criteria are not eligible for merit pay.
- 2. If minimum competency criteria are achieved, the Personnel Committee will evaluate the faculty member's documentation and submit the points allocated for teaching, scholarship and service, as described above.
- 3. Based on the Personnel Committee's evaluation, the Department Head will determine a composite rating (ranging from 1-5) by multiplying the average rating in Teaching, Scholarship, and Service, by the percentage weight for that area, as negotiated by the faculty member and the Department Head.
- 4. The composite rating scores for Teaching, Scholarship, and Service for each faculty member are submitted to the Dean, CHHS. A composite rating score of 4.33-5 will receive an exceptional (5) rating; a score of 3.66-4.32 will receive a commendable (4) rating; a score of 3.0-3.65 will receive a competent (3) rating.

riteria are ating of 1	minimum competency criteria are met, the Department Head will make a recommendation for assigning or 2. If all minimum competency criteria are met, the Department Head will make a recommendation for assigning at minimum competency criteria are met, the Department Head will minimum Departmental standards are met, and the faculty member will merit.
Teaching	Not Achieved
	☐ Teaches regular course offerings effectively
	Develops, communicates and consistently applies clearly defined standards of performance in teaching & learning activities.
	☐ Evaluates student performance based on the course objectives
	☐ Establishes opportunities for student consultation with faculty
	<ul> <li>Reviews course content and revises as needed to reflect current information.</li> </ul>
	☐ Seeks and uses feedback to improve teaching effectiveness
	☐ Communicates & consistently implements course policies
	☐ Conducts student advising
	<ul> <li>Demonstrates a pattern of satisfactory evaluation of teaching after second year of appointment</li> </ul>
Scholarshi Achieved	Not Achieved
	Attend scholarly or scientific conference, or convention.
	Disseminates clinical and other applicable research from a peer reviewed publication in the classroom and/or professional meeting (scholarship of integration).
Service Achieved	Not Achieved
	Actively participates in at least one discipline related community activity.
	Actively participates in 2 major Departmental committees or initiatives.
	Contributes to the mission and goals of the Department of nursing

# **CRITERIA FOR MERIT**

# **Teaching**

You will be awarded points for each criterion achieved, however, if an item is achieved multiple times (e.g. develops 3 new courses), the faculty member may provide a request and rationale for awarding more than the allocated points for that item.

Gold Level Criteria (3 points each)	Rationale
1. Develops teaching material or an approach that is	
exemplary.	
2. Receives recognition or award for outstanding	
teaching.	
3. Obtains external funding for the support of teaching	
and learning innovation.	
4. Student award under guidance of faculty member.	
5. Student publication under guidance of faculty	
member.	
6. Student conference presentation under guidance of	
faculty member.	
7. National level teaching presentation (oral, poster, or	
panel discussion – peer reviewed).	
8. Serves as an academic advisor to 40 students (1 additional point every 10 student advisees).	
9. Chairs three graduate student thesis or non-thesis	
committees (1 point for each additional chaired	
student thesis or non-thesis if not part of your load).	
10. Serves as a member on six or more graduate thesis or	
non-thesis committees.	
11. Leads development of new course recommended by	
curriculum committee.	
12. Attains a <u>Student Assessment of Learning Gains</u>	
(SALG) average rating of 3.5 or above or $\leq$ 2.0 (mean	
of all sections instructed) on CHHS Student	
Evaluation Form.	
13. Serves as an effective Program Director (non-	
compensated).	
14. Receives peer evaluation rating of excellent.	
15. Receives academic advising award.	
16. Other.	
Gold Subtotal	

Silver Level Criteria (2 points each)	Rationale
1. Utilizes multiple innovative teaching methods that	
promote an environment conducive to learning beyond	
lecture and discussion.	
2. Chairs two graduate student thesis or non-thesis	
committees.	
3. Obtains internal funding for the support of teaching	
and learning innovation.	
4. Compiles and disseminates original/custom course	
text, lab guide, and course packet (not articles).  5. State level teaching presentation (oral, poster, or panel	
discussion – peer reviewed).	
6. Initiates and actively participates in major curriculum	
development and revision.	
7. Provides opportunities that promote student creativity.	
8. Utilizes educational activity that encourages	
independent learning.	
9. Serves as a mentor to other faculty.	
10. Serves as coordinator (lab, course or clinical).	
11. Serves as an academic advisor to 30 students.	
12. Serves as a member on four graduate thesis or non-	
thesis committees (beyond workload and non-	
compensated).	
13. Teaching load equivalent is above the individually	
negotiated "Performance Dimensions, Weightings and	
Ratings" and non-compensated.  14. Develops distance learning course.	
15. Develops innovative instructional technology strategy	
in appropriate course (beyond basic PowerPoint and	
Blackboard).  16. Develops new material for a course.	
<ul><li>17. Advises/sponsors student organization.</li><li>18. Advises/supervises students engaged in course related</li></ul>	
community service.	
19. Attains a SALG average ratings of 3.0 or above or ≤	
2.5 (mean of all sections instructed) on CHHS Student	
Evaluation Form.	
20. Receives peer evaluation rating of good.	
21. Obtains or maintains Master Advisor status.	
22. Other.	
Subtotal Silver	

Bronze Level Criteria (1 point each)	Rationale
1. Participates in professional development activity to	
enhance teaching (1 point for eight hours).	
2. Chairs one student thesis or non-thesis committee.	
3. Serves as a member on two graduate thesis or non-	
thesis committees.	
4. Serves as an academic advisor to 20 students.	
5. Local level teaching presentation (oral, poster, or panel discussion).	
6. Revises teaching/learning resource and activity as	
needed to reflect new standards and guidelines,	
technology, and changing health care trends.	
7. Provides learning experience that encourages critical	
thinking.	
8. Teaches Citizenship and Service Learning course	
(CASL).	
9. Completes duties associated with accreditation and	
self-study documents as assigned or requested by the	
Department Head.	
10. Present guest lecture or lab for courses in the NUR	
Department or other Departments (this activity may count as either teaching or service, but not both)	
11. Presents a Departmental seminar	
12. Teaches distance learning course.	
13. Implements appropriate instructional technology.	
14. Plans and conducts an extracurricular field trip	
15. Contributes to the general education and public affairs mission of University.	
16. Receives peer evaluation of average.	
17. Attains a SALG average ratings of 2.5 or above or ≤ 3.0 or below on CHHS Student Evaluation Form.	
18. Other	
Subtotal Bronze	

# **Teaching**

Rating	Rating Name	Rating Description	Required Rating Score
5	Exceptional	Performance/results consistently exceed competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in most aspects of performance.	30 points
4	Commendable	Performance/results frequently exceed competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in certain aspects of performance.	20 points
3	Competent	Performance/results are consistently at expected levels. Meets job requirements.	10 points
2	Development Needed	Some performance deficiencies exist.  Performance Improvement Plan is to be established and improvement is required.	Fails to achieve Departmental minimum competency requirements. Department Head and Personnel Committee recommend a rating of 2.
1	Unsatisfactory	Performance is consistently below acceptable levels. Performance Improvement Plan is to be established and immediate improvement is required.	Fails to achieve Departmental minimum competency requirements. Department Head and Personnel Committee recommend a rating of 1.

# **Scholarship**

You will be awarded points for each criterion achieved, however, if an item is achieved multiple times (e.g. publishes 3 books); the faculty member may provide a request and rationale for awarding more than the allocated points for that item.

<u>Go</u>	ld Level Criteria (3 points each)	Rationale
1.	Receives recognition or award for outstanding	
	scholarship.	
	Serves as an editor for a professional journal or text.	
3.	Serves as a grant reviewer for a funding agency or	
	organization.	
4.	Serves as a manuscript/abstract reviewer for a	
	professional journal/organization.	
5.	Presents research or clinically-based scholarly material	
	at international professional meeting (peer reviewed).	
6.	Publishes scholarly material as the primary or lead author in a refereed publication.	
7.	Participates as invited presenter at a nationally sponsored professional conference.	
8.	Publishes discipline-related book.	
9.	Obtains funding for regional or state external grant,	
	contract, or project as principal investigator.	
10.	Obtains funding for federal (national) external grant as principal investigator or co-investigator.	
11.	Serve as the "Project Director" on a nationally funded	
	grant or contract.	
12.	Completes terminal degree beyond conditions of	
12	employment.  Completes formal post-graduate training or fellowship	
14.	Other	
	Subtotal Gold	
Sil	ver Level Criteria (2 points each)	
1.	Obtains funding for internal grant, contract, or project	
2	as principle investigator or co-investigator  Obtains funding for regional or state external grant	
2.	Obtains funding for regional or state external grant, contract, or project as co-investigator.	
3.	Applies for national external grant, contracts, or	
	project as primary investigator or co-investigator.	
4.	Managing an established grant (continuation)	
5.	Serve as the "Project Director" on a state or regional	
	funded grant or contract.	

Silver Level Criteria (2 points each)	Rationale
6. Publishes scholarly material as a co-author in a	
refereed publication.	
7. Mentor to a student who publishes/presents scholarly	
material at national/regional forum.	
8. Serves as an expert consultant in area of professional	
expertise.	
9. Obtains or maintains national certification in a	
discipline related specialty.	
10. Develops discipline related creative material.	
11. Review or critique scholarly project other than a	
student thesis or non-thesis project.	
12. Utilizes scholarship of application in active clinical	
practice.	
13. Develops relevant software or media.	
14. Publishes monograph.	
15. Publishes chapter in edited book.	
16. Involves students in research other than thesis or non-	
thesis research project.	
17. Develops research tool.	
18. Publish in a national, discipline related, non-refereed	
scholarly publication (e.g. book review, newsletter	
article, technical information, etc.).	
19. Serves on a discussion panel for a national or regional	
forum.	
20. Write ancillary text material (study guides, test bank,	
etc.) to accompany published textbook for external dissemination.	
21. Presents invited address related to a scholarly.	
professional issue at a regional or state forum.	
22. Publishes new edition of a book.	
23. Completes specialized, discipline-related training or	
certification.	
24. Present research or clinically based scholarly material	
at local or regionally sponsored professional meeting	
(peer reviewed).	
25. Other	
Silver Subtotal	

Bronze Level Criteria (1 point each)	Rationale
1. Pursues program of scholarship. (Integration,	
Application, Discovery)	
2. Submits one research or other scholarly item for	
publication.	
3. Provides documentation of research in progress	
(collaborative and independent).	
4. Mentor to a student who publishes/presents scholarly	
material at state/local/campus forum.	
5. Develop independent or collaborative research or	
service project (non-funded).	
6. Obtains funding for a local or internal grant as co-	
investigator.	
7. Apply for intramural contract, or project as principle	
investigator or co-investigator.	
8. Grant/contracts submitted, but not funded.	
9. Serve as the "Project Director" on a local or internally	
funded grant or contract.	
10. Serve as a clinical role model for students.	
11. Submit project or article for publication.	
12. Attend scholarly or scientific conference, or	
convention (1 point for eight hours).	
13. Participate in the development of	
accreditation/program review report/self-	
study/technical report.	
14. Present original scholarship in a Department, College,	
or University seminar.	
15. Serves on a discussion panel for a state or local forum.	
16. Review manuscript for potential publication (book or	
article).	
17. Submit scholarly material or clinical project for	
presentation at professional meeting.	
18. Publish an article in a non-refereed journal, magazine,	
or newspaper related to academic discipline.	
19. Receive additional <u>formal</u> training in a new research	
methodology, technique, or design.	
20. Other	
Bronze Subtotal	

# Scholarship

Rating	Rating Name	Rating Description	Required Rating Score
5	Exceptional	Performance/results consistently	24 points.
		exceed competent levels. A high	
		degree of proficiency is shown in most	
		aspects of performance.	
4	Commendable	Performance/results frequently exceed	16 points
		competent levels. A high degree of	
		proficiency is shown in certain aspects	
		of performance.	
3	Competent	Performance/results are consistently at	8 points
		expected levels. Meets job	
		requirements.	
2	Development	Some performance deficiencies exist.	Fails to achieve
	Needed	Performance Improvement Plan is to	Departmental minimum
		be established and improvement is	competency requirements.
		required.	Department Head and
			Personnel Committee
			recommend a rating of 2.
1	Unsatisfactory	Performance is consistently below	Fails to achieve
		acceptable levels. Performance	Departmental minimum
		Improvement	competency requirements.
			Department Head and
			Personnel Committee
			recommend a rating of 1.

## Service

You will be awarded points for each criterion achieved, however, if an item is achieved multiple times (e.g. actively participates in 3 professional organizations), the faculty member may provide a request and rationale for awarding more than the allocated points for that item.

Go	old Level Criteria (3 points each)	Rationale
1.	Receives recognition or award for outstanding service	
	in area of expertise.	
2.	Serves as a consultant to the profession or community.	
3.	Provides leadership in a professional organization or	
	initiative at a regional or national level.	
4.	Provides leadership in 2 major Departmental	
	committees or initiatives.	
5.	Provides leadership on a major College and/or	
	University committee or initiative.	
6.	Provides leadership in planning conference or public	
	affairs event sponsored by the University, College,	
	Department or discipline related community	
	organization.	
	Serves on discipline-related national task force.	
8.	Other	
	Gold Subtotal	
Sil	ver Level Criteria (2 points each)	
1.	Provides leadership in one minor University	
	committee or initiative.	
2.	Actively participates in 2 University committees or	
	initiatives	
3.	Provides leadership in one minor College committee	
	or initiative.	
4.	Provide leadership in a discipline related community	
	organization initiative.	
5.	Actively participates in 2 major College committees or	
	initiatives.	
6.	Provides leadership in one major Departmental committee or initiative.	
7		
/ .	Actively participates in 2 or more Departmental committees or initiatives (beyond the	
	standard/required committees - e.g. curriculum, APG).	
8.	Develops Departmental newsletter.	
9.	Participates in planning College or University	
/.	sponsored event.	
10	Provides leadership in professional organization or	
	initiative at the local level.	
<u></u>	minum to ut the room to ter.	

Silver Level Criteria (2 points each)	Rationale
11. Provides leadership in planning Department event or	
initiative.	
12. Actively participates in professional organization or	
initiative at the national level.	
13. Supervises/coordinates student participation in	
discipline related community events.	
14. Provides leadership in developing and revising crucial	
Departmental documents	
15. Other	
Silver Subtotal	
Bronze Level Criteria (1 point each)	
1. Actively participates in 2 professional organizations or	
initiatives at local or state level.	
2. Support University or Departmental fund-raising	
activity.	
3. Actively participates in 1 University committee or	
initiative.	
4. Actively participates in 1 major College committee or	
<ul><li>initiative.</li><li>5. Maintains membership in 2 professional or discipline</li></ul>	
related community organizations.	
6. Participates in planning and organizing Departmental	
recruiting and/or alumni activity.	
7. Participate in planning Department sponsored event.	
8. Interviews with media on a discipline related topic.	
9. Actively participates in other non-committee	
Departmental assignment (e.g. web master, online	
resource person, computer technical assistance, as well	
as equipment inventory and maintenance).	
10. Other	
Bronze Subtotal	

# Service

Rating	Rating Name	Rating Description	Required Rating Score
5	Exceptional	Performance/results consistently	15 points
		exceed competent levels. A high	
		degree of proficiency is shown in	
		most aspects of performance.	
4	Commendable	Performance/results frequently	10 points
		exceed competent levels. A high	
		degree of proficiency is shown in	
		certain aspects of performance.	
3	Competent	Performance/results are	5 points
		consistently at expected levels.	
		Meets job requirements.	
2	Development	Some performance deficiencies	Fails to achieve
	Needed	exist. Performance Improvement	Departmental minimum
		Plan is to be established and	competency requirements.
		improvement is required.	Department Head and
			Personnel Committee
			recommend a rating of 2.
1	Unsatisfactory	Performance is consistently	Fails to achieve
		below acceptable levels.	Departmental minimum
		Performance Improvement Plan is	competency requirements.
		to be established and immediate	Department Head and
		improvement is required.	Personnel Committee
			recommend a rating of 1.

### **CHHS Performance Parameter Worksheet**

Faculty performance weightings should be related to effort. Grant activity will be counted in the performance dimension tables according to the area in which the grant/contract work is most applicable ---Teaching, Research, or Service.

**Performance Dimensions and CHHS Parameters for Weighting:** 

**Probationary Faculty 9 hr TLE** 

Minimum Weight	Performance Dimension (Role)	Maximum Weight
45%	Teaching/Advising/Program	60%
	Director/Accreditation Activity	
35%	Research/scholarship/creative activities	50%
5%	Service	15%

**Tenured Faculty with 9 hr TLE** 

Minimum Weight Performance Dimension (Role)		Maximum Weight	
30%	Teaching/Advising/Program	60%	
	Director/Accreditation Activity		
30%	Research/scholarship/creative activities	60%	
10%	Service	20%	

Tenured Faculty with 12 hr TLE

Minimum Weight	Performance Dimension (Role)	Maximum Weight
60%	Teaching/Advising/Program	80%
	Director/Accreditation Activity	
10%	Research/scholarship/creative activities	30%
10%	Service	20%

**Tenured Faculty with 15 hr TLE** 

10.101.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.001.			
Minimum Weight Performance Dimension (Role)		Maximum Weight	
70%	Teaching/Advising/Program	90%	
	Director/Accreditation Activity		
5%	Research/scholarship/creative activities	15%	
5%	Service	15%	

#### Lecturer

Minimum Weight	Performance Dimension (Role)	Maximum Weight
80%	Teaching/Advising/Program	90%
	Director/Accreditation Activity	
0%	Research/scholarship/creative activities	10%
10%	Service	20%

Research Faculty (As designated in appointment letter or approved by Provost)

recording to accompliance in appearance record or approved by record			
Minimum Weight Performance Dimension (Role)		Maximum Weight	
0%	Teaching/Advising/Program	25%	
	Director/Accreditation Activity		
65%	65% Research/scholarship/creative activities		
10%	Service	20%	

# CONFIDENTIAL DOCUMENT CHHS Faculty Performance Worksheet

Department/School		Calen	dar Year(s)	
Faculty member	culty member Rank			
Probationary Tenured Non-tenure Track				
TLE Fall	TLE Spring	_ TLE Summ	er (if applicable)	
If TLE includes reass please explain"	igned time for advising	յ, Program Director, <i>i</i>	Accreditation Activity, etc	
If TLE includes a cou	rse buy-out from a gra	nt, please identify gr	ant and explain:	

#### **INSTRUCTIONS:**

- 1. The Department Head and faculty member negotiate the weighting of each performance dimension for determining the faculty member's performance rating and enter the weighting for each performance dimension. Performance weightings must be consistent with CHHS guidelines (refer to reverse side of this document), as well as the faculty member's teaching/research/service load. The total of the ratings for the three dimensions must equal 100%. The Department Head and faculty member shall sign and date this document at the time the weightings are determined. The Department Head shall retain the original and the faculty member shall receive a copy. The Department Head shall provide a copy to the CHHS Dean by **October 15, 2006.** A copy is **not** provided to the Departmental Personnel Committee.
- 2. Calculations will be completed after the performance rating for each category is determined.

# Performance Dimensions, Weightings and Ratings

Performance Dimension (Role)	Weight ( %)*	Performance Rating	Weight X Rating Ex: .60 wt x 5 rating = 3.0
Teaching/Advising/Program Director/Accreditation Activity			
Research/scholarship/creative activities			
Service			
Composite Rating			
*Must total 100%			
Signature of Faculty Member		Da	ite

Date

Signature of Department Head