CHILDHOOD EDUCATION AND FAMILY STUDIES IMPLEMENTATION OF THE COMPENSATION SYSTEM

PERFORMANCE DIMENSIONS AND UNIVERSITY PARAMETERS FOR WEIGHTING:

Probationary Faculty

Minimum Weight Performance Dimension (Role)		Maximum Weight
45%	Teaching/Advising	60%
35%	Research/scholarships/creative activities	50%
5%	Service	15%

Instructors & Greenwood

Minimum Weight	nimum Weight Performance Dimension (Role)	
80%	Teaching/Advising	90%
0%	Research/scholarships/creative activities	10%
10%	Service	20%

Performance Dimensions and University Parameters for Weighting:

Tenured—9 hour TLE

Minimum Weight Performance Dimension (Role)		Maximum Weight
30%	Teaching/Advising	60%
30%	Research/scholarships/creative activities	60%
10%	Service	20%

Performance Dimensions and University Parameters for Weighting:

Tenured—12 hour TLE

Minimum Weight	num Weight Performance Dimension (Role)	
50%	Teaching/Advising	80%
10%	Research/scholarships/creative activities	40%
10%	Service	20%

CHILDHOOD EDUCATION AND FAMILY STUDIES DEPARTMENT Merit Rubric

The following criteria will be used in determining faculty's merit ratings for the academic year. Faculty will be responsible for keeping documentation verifying all aspects of their accomplishments. Specific directions for submission will be provided.

Teaching

These examples are only guides for the committee; the final rating shall be a consensus of judgment among the Compensation Committee members after considering all relevant information.

5	4	3	2	1
Exceptional Performance/results consistently exceed competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in most aspects of performance	Commendable Performance/results frequently exceed competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in certain aspects of performance	Competent Performance/results are consistently at expected levels. Meets job requirements.	Development Needed Some performance deficiencies exist. Performance Improvement Plan is to be established and improvement is required.	Unsatisfactory Performance is consistently below acceptable levels. Performance Improvement Plan is to be established and immediate improvement is required.
Meeting above	Exceeding	Meeting all	Inconsistent or	Absence of
expected	expected	Faculty	minimal evidence	evidence that
performance in at	performance in at	Handbook	that faculty	faculty member
least five ways,	least three ways.	teaching	member is	is performing in a
including, but not	See Teaching	responsibility	performing in a	Satisfactory
limited to, those	guidelines for PT	criteria;	Satisfactory	manner in their
listed in the Above	for specific	Providing	manner in their	teaching or
Expected criteria.	examples of	evidence of	teaching.	persistent
See Teaching	teaching quality.	effective		evidence of low
guidelines for PT		teaching;		quality teaching.
for specific		See Teaching		
examples of		guidelines for PT		
teaching quality.		for specific		
		examples of		
		teaching quality.		

Possible professional development activities: "Possible/Suggested Meritorious Teaching Criteria"

- *Other teaching activities: guest lectures, number of independent readings, other teaching activities.
- *Activities to improve teaching: revision of existing courses, use of technology, development of new courses for the program, new teaching methods, professional upgrading (participation in workshops, institutes, pedagogical reading and research etc.)
- *Advisement activities: number of academic advisees, hours spent in advisement, etc.
- *Activities related to new course and program development.
- *Graduate research advisement and support of graduate research efforts.
- *Coordination, placement, and supervision of practicum students, student teachers and interns
- *Other activities to be approved by department head
- *Achieving high student evaluations (on a 5-pt scale; >3.51 where 5 is highest; or <2.0 where 1 is highest)
- *Preparing, compiling custom texts, reading packages and revision of one's own courses, (e.g. alignment with standards/competencies or updating materials)
- *Teaching awards, honors, recognition by student organizations
- *Peer evaluation through observation of actual teaching and evaluation of ancillary course materials

These items will be documented on a time log. In addition to just a listing of these items, faculty will provide a description (brief—one to two pages) of conference, workshop, book or article read, new syllabi, certificates of attendance, etc. to verify participation.

Scholarship

These examples are only guides for the committee; the final rating shall be a consensus of judgment among the Compensation Committee members after considering all relevant information.

5	4	3	2	1
Exceptional	Commendable	Competent	Development	Unsatisfactory
Performance/results consistently exceed	Performance/results frequently exceed	Performance/results are consistently at expected	Needed Some performance	Performance is consistently below

appa		. •	D 0	(10/0/07)	
CEES	Compens	sation	1)raff	(10/3/07)	

CEFS Compensatio	· ·		1 0	
competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in most aspects of performance	competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in certain aspects of performance	levels. Meets job requirements	deficiencies exist. Performance Improvement Plan is to be established and improvement is required.	acceptable levels. Performance Improvement Plan is to be established and immediate improvement is required.
At least 2	At least 1	At least 1	Inconsistent	Absence of
scholarship	scholarship	scholarship	or minimal	evidence that
products from	product from	product activity	evidence that	faculty
Category A	Category A	from Category	faculty	member is
		A or B, or at	member is	performing in
		least 2 from any	performing in	a Satisfactory
		of the	Satisfactory	manner in
		Categories A,	manner in	scholarship
		B, or C	scholarship	
At least 1	At least 1	Have a current	Limited but	No current
additional	additional	research agenda	current	research
scholarship	scholarship	(plan) on file	research	agenda (plan)
product from	product from	with goals for	agenda (plan)	on file
Category B or C	Category A or B	the year	on file	
Have a current	Have a current	2 paragraph	Short status	Limited or no
research agenda	research agenda	status report	report	status report
(plan) on file with	(plan) on file with	describing	describing	describing
goals for the year	goals for the year	research	research	research
		explorations	explorations	explorations
		and/or data	and/or data	
		related to	related to	
		exploration	exploration	
2 paragraph status	2 paragraph status	*This	*This	*This
report describing	report describing	represents	represents	represents
research	research	minimum	minimum	minimum
explorations	explorations	criteria for	criteria for	criteria for
and/or data	and/or data	compensation of	compensation	compensation
related to	related to	a rating	of a rating	of a rating
exploration	exploration			
*This represents	*This represents			
minimum criteria	minimum criteria			
for compensation				
	for compensation			

WEIGHTED SCHOLARSHIP ACTIVITIES:

Categories of Scholarly Work

CATEGORY A

- Scholarly/research articles in press in international/national peer-reviewed journals, print-based or electronic media
- Student research projects mentored by faculty members resulting in international/national peerreviewed publications

- Author or editor of scholarly book(s) or children's literature.
- Principal Investigator for external grant(s) that have been funded and report(s) or product(s) emanating from such funded project(s) including electronic media (typically \$10,000+).
- External grant applications that require substantial faculty effort
- Primary author of NCATE Folio or Professional Organization Folio.
- Author or editor of book chapter(s), monograph(s), anthology(ies), published production script(s), either print-based or other electronic media.

CATEGORY B

- Scholarly/research articles published in regional or state peer-reviewed journals, print-based or electronic media.
- Articles published in major national discipline-based, print-based or electronic media.
- Student research projects mentored by faculty members resulting in state/regional peer-reviewed publications
- Reviews for university self-studies.
- Primary author, editor, project manager or production specialist of published major educational curriculum material including electronic media.
- Grant(s) that have been funded and report(s) or product(s) emanating from such funded project(s) including electronic media.
- National/international or regional scholarly peer-reviewed conference presentation(s), paper, or conference proceeding(s).
- National or international awards for research

CATEGORY C

- Local/university grant(s) that have been funded and report(s) or product(s) emanating from such funded project(s) including electronic media (typically <\$10,000).
- State and local peer-reviewed conference presentation(s) or conference proceeding(s).
- Non-refereed publication(s) and electronic media.
- Submissions for publication that have not been accepted for publication.
- Scholarly, creative work(s), and electronic presentation(s) other than electronic media as described above.
- Grant and contract proposal(s) as well as accompanying report(s) emanating from such project(s). What is the difference between this one and the "grants" in Category A, B and C above?
- Student/faculty collaborative research project(s) and formal presentations of findings.
- Book reviews, essays, and abstracts published in referred journals.
- Completed dissertation as Chair of dissertation committee(s)
- Peer Reviewer for journal.
- Research consultant.
- Honors or awards for research.
- Reprints of articles previously published in edited books or referenced journals.
- Professional development including: clinical practice, advancement of education, post-doctoral fellowship, etc.
- Peer-reviewed creative endeavors
- Literature review, data collection, research work in the discovery phase. Other, as judged by appropriate program faculty.

Service

These examples are only guides for the committee; the final rating shall be a consensus of judgment among the Compensation Committee members after considering all relevant information.

5	4	3	2	1
Exceptional	Commendable	Competent	Development	Unsatisfactory
Performance/results consistently exceed competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in	Performance/results frequently exceed competent levels. A high degree of proficiency is shown in	Performance/results are consistently at expected levels. Meets job requirements.	Needed Some performance deficiencies exist. Performance Improvement Plan is to	Performance is consistently below acceptable levels. Performance Improvement Plan is to

CEFS Compensation Draft (10/3/07)

most aspects of performance	certain aspects of performance		be established and improvement is required.	be established and immediate improvement is required.
Extends beyond expected performance to include service activities that demonstrate sustained success in one or more areas, i.e., Professional Service, Public Service, or Professional Consultation.	Service extends beyond expected performance to include service activities that demonstrates attained success in one or more areas, i.e., Professional Service, Public Service, or Professional Consultation	Demonstrated success in University Citizenship as evidenced by contributing to the task of shared governance; and attained success in one additional areas, i.e., Professional Service, Public Service, or Professional Consultation	Inconsistent or minimal evidence that faculty member is performing in a Satisfactory manner in service.	Absence of evidence that faculty member is performing in a Satisfactory manner in service.

Possible Service Activities

- 1. Membership in professional organizations
- **2.** Elected office or other position of leadership held in professional organizations.
- 3. Special assignments for professional organizations; for example, directed seminars, workshops, etc.
- 4. Participation at professional meetings in the capacity of moderator, speaker, reactor, discussant.
- **5.** Work performed in a professional consultant capacity.
- **6.** Professional honors.
- 7. Other professional activities, such as serving as a reviewer for a publication or professional practice, reviewer of conference program proposals, etc..
- **8.** Membership or leadership in Department, College, or University Committees or task force.
- 9. Involvement in student activities such as faculty sponsorship of clubs, organizations or special events.
- 10. Community, regional, state, and national activities deemed significant.
- **11.** Special University, College, or Department assignments or activities deemed significant such as recruitment events, Homecoming, etc..
- 12. Providing professional development or other professional service to schools and other agencies.