CGEIP Minutes 11/1/2023

Attendees:

Steven Schneiders, COA; Christine Sudbrock, COA; Luciane Maimone, RCASH; Kristin Harper, RCASH; Doug Witte, COB; Cathy Van Landuyt, COB; Jamie Atkinson, COE; Ximena Uribe-Zarain, COE; Jaime Gnau, MCHHS; Emery Bryant, MCHHS; Sara Henary, RCASH; Catherine H Hoegeman, RACASH; Helena Metzger, CNAS; Steven Senger, CNAS; Raegen Wiechert, LIB.

Ex-officio:

Subhasree Basu Roy, Kelly Wood, Susan Hardy (SGA), Collin Chastain (SGA), Scott Zimmerman (Faculty Senate).

The Council on General Education and Intercollegiate Programs met in Library 120

3:30 pm on Wednesday November 1, 2023.

Access was also available via Zoom: Link: https://missouristate.zoom.us/j/91047811406

- I. Welcome and Announcements
- II. Old Business
 - a. October minutes
 - i. Approved.
 - b. SFR 250 Foundations of American Education New Gen Ed Course Proposal. Jamie Atkinson will revise and resubmit later.
 - Faculty Senate resolution to have CORE 42 under CGEIP purview.
 Meeting between Katie Hoegeman (CGEIP), Beth Walker (Faculty Senate Chair) and Ken Brown / Subhasree Basu Roy pending.

There was discussion about where we stand right now with Gen Ed and Core 42. Some of the points discussed were:

- What Core 42 is for and having a Core 42 course should benefit transfer students.
- The current understanding is the process for approving Core 42 courses still relies primarily (solely?) on Josh Smith on behalf of the Provost's office.
- III. New Business
 - a. Curricular Action Workflow the two applications (From Geography) currently waiting for our review also need New Gen Ed Course Proposals. Confirmed with Toby Dogwiler (Department Head) that we will wait for all proposals, probably for January.

b. Discuss proposal to consolidate New Gen Ed Proposal with Curricular Action Workflow processes.

There are two processes for new General Education Courses. The course proposals come directly to CGEIP. The Curricular Action Workflow (CAW) to create a new course or to change a Gen Ed designation first needs to be approved by the College Council before coming to CGEIP

- New Gen Ed proposals are uncommon. We have not had one in a while.
- What is the rationale behind the curricular process? With the new system in place, do we, CGEIP, want to consolidate the process into CAW? Yes or No? -Consensus to move forward with this.
- c. Discuss possible recommendation to have CGEIP representation:
 - The discussion started because RCASH merged two colleges.
 - Proposal: To include 3 members from each current college.
 - Current "extra" members would remain until they term out.
 - We are 6 colleges currently plus Library. Do we want 2 or 3 people per college?
 - We already went from 15 to 13 by having one less college. Thirteen people will now to review the reports that come through every year.
 - Scott Zimmerman will take it to Executive Senate. Maybe one option is to have 4 representatives from RCASH.
 - Lu spoke about representation, especially for RCASH. She wants to give voices to people losing representation.
- d. 2023 Gen Ed Course Evaluations preview
 - Email list must be updated.
 - We are doing Natural world and Public Affairs.
 - Katie will contact school directors /department heads and program directors to make sure new people are contacted and she explains to them what is expected in terms of CGEIP reports.
- e. Review of <u>Gen Ed Course Coordinator Handbook</u>
 - Who has looked at the handbook lately? -Nobody
 - Is the handbook SLO oriented instead of Goal oriented?
 - There were questions about how we review courses/reports, from the SLO or goals perspectives?
 - We need to read the handbook and bring feedback to the December meeting in case we need to modify it next spring.
 - Lu asked why we would review the handbook if it was reviewed a year ago. Katie said that it comes from members questioning the assessment/evaluation questions committee members had. Lu thinks that the handbook is for coordinators and not for us, the committee, and what we do with the data. Katie was referring to the content of the reports just in case there was something in the handbook we wanted to adjust related to assessments. Lu still thinks this

must be done carefully. Lu proposes a vote to see if the handbook needs to be reviewed. Katie proposed meeting to Lu to add this as an item to next meeting.

- Kristin reminded us we want to be a bit stricter with deadlines for program coordinators in relation to the submission of reports.
- December 5th has been the official deadline for 5 years. But some of the coordinators might be new. Kelly Wood proposed emailing the new coordinators to explain to them the deadline, the process, and add if they need an extension given the short notice. The current academic year data are not to be included in these reports.
- f. College of Business members brought up an issue to discuss an issue they have with IDS297 not having a minimum GPA.
 - Right now the there are no requirements to take IDS297, however Education Abroad programs have a requirement of a minimum GPA of 2.0.
 - CGEIP owns IDS297.
 - IDS297 is only used for education Abroad.
 - There is a minimum GPA for education abroad programs 2.0
 - Someone submitted a proposal to have a minimum of 3.0 for an education abroad program using IDS297. The request was made for IDS297.
 - Kelly Wood denied the request to that someone.
 - COB has used IDS297 in their Education Abroad programs with minimum GPAs in the past, until now.
 - COB is asking that faculty can propose a minimum GPA to go on an Education Abroad program and still use IDS297.
 - The question is: Can a program director establish the min GPA for their Education Abroad program and still use IDS 297 as one of the courses attached to the education abroad experience? As of now, the general requirement is for education abroad program is 2.0. If the program minimum is 2.5 to be part of the program, can they use IDS297.

Do we have other options?

IV. Adjournment at 4:53 p.m.

a. Next Meeting – December 6, 2023, 3:30 p.m. Library 120 Respectfully submitted by Ximena Uribe-Zarain.