

CGEIP meeting minutes (approved) from Wed., February 12, 2020. Commencing at 3:34 pm in PSU 317

COUNCIL ON GENERAL EDUCATION AND
INTERCOLLEGIATE PROGRAMS

Present: Rich Biagioni, Chair (CHM), L. Michelle Bowe, Secretary (BIO), Sascha Mowrey (COE/CFFS), LeAnn Brazeal (COM), Mark Given (REL), John Strong (REL), Grace Jackson-Brown (LIS), Jenny Zhang (FGB), Cathy Van-Landuyt (COB/ITC), Josh Smith (BMS, Provost's Designate), Julia Cottrell (Assessment), Michael La Monica (KIN), Clydette Alsup-Egbers (AG), Rachelle Darabi (Provost's Office), Billie Follensbee (Art and Design, visiting), David Rohall (SOC-ANT, visiting)

Old business:

1. Minutes from the last meeting were approved by consensus.

New Business

2. There were 2 Curricular Proposals:

a) A new minor was proposed in Nonprofit Leadership-it is more academic than current programs; simultaneously, there was a Certificate in Nonprofit Leadership, which is focused more on job skills.

Discussion: Biagioni: There is lots of overlap with the minor and the Social Work major. Rohall: the minor was developed for folks who were not SW majors.

b) Museum studies Minor change: (Billie Follensbee) Change to the Biology advisor since Janice Greene retired. Also, they are adding 4 courses to reflect the strengths of current faculty. There is going to be a B.A. with the option of a Museum studies Minor. The proposal was passed.

3. We discussed the feedback from course reports:

Biagioni: We would have liked more than 4 responses. Also, one response was about using GG's instead of SLOs, but they could have used the SLOs.

We noted that they thought it was helpful to meet with colleagues about Gened courses. We might need to clarify that they do need to meet each year.

Biagioni: we can make recommendations about GGs, but not actually make changes.

Smith: Goals 12, 13 and 14 might not all be met.

We might want to recognize course coordinators or report submitters. Possibly with lunch at the PSU.

4. There will be a report to the Faculty Senate:

a) recount how we reviewed the reports.

b) meeting with faculty to discuss the reports was meaningful.

c) we would like to continue with the current method.

d) J. Strong: what about expanding CGEIP? Biagioni: this was left open. Given: workload would be lower with more people to divide the reports among. Strong: departments/coordinators would be more invested in the process. Biagioni: we would need a rule about what constitutes a quorum. Brazeal: structural changes were supposed to go to the Senate Rules committee. Biagioni: they may have been waiting for us.

e) Biagioni will propose to Senate: 1) continuation of the pilot process, 2) addition of a Chair-Elect position.

Additional Business

5. K. Pulley submitted a Rel 100 report. Cathy V., M. Bowe and Josh S. will review.

6. We might worry if reports (CGEIP's evaluations) were going to the deans. Biagioni: it's problematic that the whole group didn't review the reports. We need to give one minute summaries so the whole group can evaluate.

7. Smith: We might want to meet somewhere less expensive, like the library.

8. The meeting was adjourned. The next meeting will be at **3:30 PM on WEDNESDAY, March 11 2020, in PSU 317.**