Clinical Evaluation Review

ATHLETIC TRAINING MAT CLINICAL

McCall Christian, Allan Liggett, Shannon Derricks, Ashlyn Towery (student)



Workshop Objectives

1. ASSESS THE CURRENT CLINICAL EVALUATION PROCESS FOR THE MASTERS OF ATHLETIC TRAINING PROGRAM.

2. ASSESS WHAT CLINICAL STANDARDS CAN BE EVALUATED CLINICALLY.

3. BRAINSTORM POSSIBLE CHANGES TO THE FORMAT OF CLINICAL EVALUATION.



Data Discussed

SLIDE SUBTITLE

- As a group, we discussed what CAATE standards are being demonstrated by student clinically.
 - Particularly the opinions of Shannon and Ashlyn (Preceptor and Student)
- 123 total Standard (sub standards) to be assessed
 - 71 YES
 - 52 NO



Conclusions Reached

• Changes must be made to enhance the quality of experience for students and preceptors while still following accreditation.

 Cutting down the number of evaluations the preceptor must complete each semester will encourage more live evaluation rather than discussion of topic.



Items Chosen for Action

DATA TO BE COLLECTED

- Make changes to Mid- and Final-Experience Evaluation to match the standards selected standards 56-68 (derived from the core competencies).
- Pair down clinical assessments to be evaluated by preceptor to approx. 10 each clinically active semester.
- Change our scale to be easier to understand.



Follow-up Plans & Next Steps

• Finalize the list of standards to be assessed clinically.

 Assign such standards among the 5 clinically-active semesters appropriately.



Items that Need Action at Higher Levels than the Department

OUTSIDE OF OUR GROUP

- Feedback from a variety of preceptors on the assigned standards.
- New build of the evaluations within E*value.
- Updated policy within the handbook and practicum classes.



Questions?

