

General Education Task Force  
Minutes of the September 27, 2011 meeting

Present: Cathy Pearman, RFT; Keri Franklin, Provost Fellow; David Mitchell, ECO – CGEIP; Kristina Moller, SGA; Emily Bernet, SGA; John Catau, Provost Office; John Kent, MKT; Eric Sheffield, RFT – CGEIP, and Etta Madden, ENG – Chair.

Absent: Eric Nelson, HIS; Danae Hudson, Provost Fellow; Pam Sailors, PHI; Cindy Hail, Faculty Senate; Doug Gouzie, GGP; Tim Knapp, SOC; Katie Hope, NUR; and Thomas Lane, Director PSU.

I. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order 2:03 p.m. in LIBR 204

II. MINUTES

Minutes of the September 21, 2011 were reviewed and approved as presented.

III. OLD BUSINESS

- a. Transcribing & Coding – The transcriptions are available on Blackboard. Chair called for volunteers to code. Once coded the general information gathered will be posted on the Provost’s website.
- b. Communicating –Chair has been working on getting the website updated. Chair will write an article for the Communiqué and asked that members improve communication with their departments and across campus.
- c. Reports – The report that was presented to ALC was discussed. Chair will attend AAA monthly and give an update report on the progress of the committee. It was suggested that a report be given to SGA.
- d. Faculty Senate & CGEIP roles and relationships – The process of how the Gen Ed revision should go through Senate and CGEIP is still being decided. The committee should have a clearer picture of the process in a week or so.

IV. NEW BUSINESS

- a. LEAP Essential Learning Outcomes – The Liberal Education and America’s Promise (LEAP) Essential Learning Outcomes were discussed as a basis for Missouri State. Two basic ideas were considered—splitting the “Knowledge of Human Cultures and the Physical and Natural World” into two categories, and deleting the category “Integrative and Applied Learning.” The group was not ready to act on these possibilities. It was suggested to check what existing learning outcomes each college now has in place and to look for the overlaps among them. There were no responses to a request for volunteers to draft outcomes prior to next meeting; instead a suggestion was made to continue working with the entire group together for another week.

V. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 3:07 p.m.