## IT Council Minutes CARR 203-7/14/10

Members Present: Steve Robinette, John Maddux, D.G. Rainwater, Kevin Piercy, Carey Adams, Tammy

Jahnke, Jeff Morrissey, Ken McClure, and Rebecca Woodard

Absent: Earl Doman, Suzanne Ingram

Guests: Cody Phelps, Mike Murphy, Mark Harsen

## One Time Requests for SCUF Carryforward (see handout)

Kevin Piercey stated that one request out of 13 has been accepted to be funded for a cost of \$3,000 (Lab and Classroom Image Server.) The other requests were not SCUF related. Jeff moved and Carey seconded the motion to accept this request- motion passed unanimously.

## **Bandwidth Subcommittee Report (see handout)**

Mark Harsen presented the subcommittee's recommendations on their charges:

<u>Charge 1:</u> Investigate internet bandwidth usage, prioritization, shaping, policies, and funding models to produce an operation and funding model for future bandwidth needs

<u>Recommendation</u>- Recommends splitting off the Residence Hall networks from the other networks including the addition of a separate internet connection paid for by Residence Life that would service the residents exclusively under normal circumstances. Our current internet would continue to be centrally funded with a commitment to continue to procure more bandwidth as needs arise. Priority bandwidth services should be limited to real-time applications directly involved in student education or support. Others desiring priority services would only rarely be granted for the actual cost of delivering the bandwidth.

Charge 2: Investigate and draft a response to SGA's "Network Neutrality" resolution.

<u>Recommendation</u>- Respond formally to SGA stating that the US Court of Appeals has ruled against the FCC regarding Network Neutrality and that Network Neutrality did not apply to internal priorities in any case. Therefore, the University respectfully declines this SGA resolution.

<u>Charge 3:</u> Investigate intra-campus bandwidth usage and recommend future funding possibilities.

<u>Recommendation</u>- The funding of intra-camps connections should continue to be funded centrally and additional bandwidth should continue to be procured as academic needs for it increase. Other special circuits should continue to be handled on a case by case basis.

Carey moved that the IT Council supports the split of bandwidth coming to MSU into 2 packets: 1) for education and administrative support and 2) a separate bandwidth provided to residence life and for the Bandwidth Subcommittee to develop real costs of providing these separate packets. Tammy seconded the motion-motion passed unanimously.

## <u>Future Business</u>

Discuss the IT Council model and membership.

Meeting adjourned at 4:53 p.m.

Respectfully submitted by, Jaime Ross Administrative Assistant II Office of the Provost