# IT Council Minutes CARR 203 5/12/10

Members Present: Steve Robinette, John Maddux, D.G. Rainwater, Kevin Piercy, Carey Adams, Tammy

Jahnke, and Jeff Morrissey.

Absent: Ken McClure

Guests: Jacob Swett, Justin Mellion, Zach Smith, Austin Kramer

### FY 2011 Recommended SCUF Budget (see handout)

SCUF Funding: SCUF is funded through the Equipment and Facilities Student Services fee. These fees are only collected from students who take classes on the Springfield campus. The possibility of expanding these fees in the future to include online learning and distance education courses was mentioned. SCUF receives 46% of the student fee for fall 2010 and spring 2011 and 31.38% of the fee for summer 2011. The equipment and facility fee was once a standard fee but now varies based on how many credit hours are taken. This change makes it harder to predict the future SCUF budget. The projection for FY 2011 SCUF Funding is as follows: Estimated income-\$2,035,000 which would be an increase of \$16,926 over FY 2010. With a FY 2010 reserve carry forward amount of \$124,780, the projected amount available for proposals and reserve is \$2,159,780.

<u>Proposals for FY 2011 SCUF Funding/ Goals & Priorities</u>: A total of 106 proposals were received totaling \$3,378,364. This created a proposal-funding gap of \$1,218,584. FY 2010 proposals only exceeded available funding by \$612,602.56. The SCUF reserves were started last year and the goal is to fully fund the reserve in 2011. Priorities include creation of full-time positions, contractual obligations on software, Phase II of open lab standardization, student workers, and ongoing commitments.

### **Central Funds:**

- Central printing- established to cover student printing costs- \$123,330
- Central software- software that is used by multiple colleges and funded centrally- \$238,635
- Central computers- standard computers for use in labs and classrooms- \$395,750 (amount should be lower next year- part of the amount is due to phase II of the open lab)
- Central classroom technology- funding for classroom technology upgrades- \$225,000

## FY 2011 SCUF Funding- Overview of Recipients:

- 46% (\$982,715) of budget- Central Funds
- 24% (\$511,565) of budget College and library
- 19% (\$411,570) of budget Computer services
- 9% (\$203,500) of budget SCUF reserves (
- 2% (\$50,430) of budget Other (learning commons, wifi, career center software etc.)

# FY 2011 SCUF Funding by Category:

- 32% (\$695,850) of budget- Personnel
- 20% (\$440,150) of budget- Computers
- 13% (\$276,640) of budget- Software
- 10% (\$225,000) of budget- Classroom Technology
- 10% (\$203,500) of budget- Reserve

- 8% (\$168,800) of budget- Printing
- 6% (\$127,135) of budget- Network/Connectivity
- 1% (\$22,705) of budget- Misc (Lab Quest and other

### Things of Note

This is the second, and hopefully, final year of the reset cycle. Resources available to colleges were increased over FY 2010 levels. Approximately \$140,000 more should be available for awards next year. This will be the largest year for awards in SCUF's 15 year history. It was questioned where funds would come from if the centralized printing fund didn't meet the demand. Kevin stated that there was a 30% reduction in open access labs printing from the year before, but if there were unanticipated increases in printing costs, then the reserve could be tapped as well as the carry forward funds. Additionally, it was stated that there should be a better way of planning computer redistribution to the colleges. Kevin stated that the Lab Stats program will be able to help with the issue of allocating these limited resources appropriately using statistical data. He went on to state that there is a huge need to start rebuilding student worker lines among the colleges and that a high priority for SGA is to fund work opportunities for students. Steve stated that the decision should be made by the IT council to include a plan so that they deans know what the expected delivery of computers will be. The data from lab stats would be used to get the machines where they need to go.

### The Need for a One-Time Correction

Due to changes to the SCUF ordering cycle, there is a need for a one time expenditure of \$49,490. This expenditure, which will come from the SCUF carry-forward, will allow the COAL Broadcast Labs to be upgraded. This upgrade is needed for the success of the academic program and will allow COAL to adjust their waterfall plan and make SCUF budgeting more predictable and "level." John moved and Jeff seconded the motion to allocate funds out of the one-time monies that are available to fund the COAL broadcast labs- motion carried.

### FY 2011 SCUF Funding Recommendation (see handout)

Motion was made to accept the recommendation as presented. Tammy moved and John seconded the motion- motion passed.

### PaperCut Print Quota Proposal for Academic Proposal for Academic Year 2010-2011

There was discussion about providing a mechanism for students to buy additional pages. Pre-paid cards purchased at the bookstore were discussed along with a pre-paid card vending machine placed in open access labs. It was speculated that eventually a web application for this purpose will be created. John moved that the council adopts the PaperCut recommendations and Carey seconded the motion-motion passed.

Jeff stated that the IT council website will be updated along with membership and updates will be posted. He stated that the council needs to talk about other representatives and the IT model at the next meeting. Meeting adjourned at 4:07.

Respectfully submitted,
Jaime Ross
Administrative Assistant II
Office of the Provost