

Reviewer's Companion to the CGEIP Tool for Evaluating General Education Courses

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBMISSION:

Download form, complete and submit electronically to your subcommittee chair or to Peggy Jones (PeggyJones@missouristate.edu). Add lines as needed in the table for more authors.

CGEIP reviewers: Chair Josh Smith, Steve Jones, and David Mitchell

Course GRY142 Intro. to Physical Geography Review Date: Semester Spring Year 2010

Department Head and/or other authors: Dr. Mario Daoust (Course Instructor) and Dr. Thomas Plymate (Department Head)

Course instructors, including lab instructors, adjuncts, etc. for all sections the last time it was taught.

Sect.#	Names of instructors/person (s) responsible for course	Year Taught	Title/Rank	√ if Syllabus attached.
Lecture A	Mario Daoust	FA10	Asst. Prof.	X
Lab 1	Heather Grootens	FA10	GTA	X*
Labs 2, 4, 301	Nicholas Vail	FA10	GTA	X*

*all lab sections use the same syllabus provided by the lecture instructor

A. Has the requested material been provided to determine whether or not this course is attempting to meet the aims and goals of General Education?

Yes, the material that was provided including the syllabi, oversight table, assessment test, and pre and post-test was included. All the material was put together in the proper format and the general education goals are clearly outlined in the oversight table as well as each syllabus. It was also very evident that the assessment that is given on week 1 and week 16 really helps provide the department with evidence whether they are meeting their general education goals.

B. Is this course attempting to meet the aims and goals of General Education?

Yes, GRY142 is meeting the goals for a natural world general education course.

C. Rating scale for final recommendation:

- 1 OK; no changes required – continue in the General Education Program
- 2 OK; continue in the General Education Program. Address committee concerns before the next review.
- 3 Resubmit portfolio since it is either incomplete, or it is inadequate to determine whether or not the course should be continued in the General Education Program. Re-submit no later than _____.
- 4 Fail: Remove from the General Education Program.

Reviewer 's final comments:

Rating 1

This report was put together very well and there is clear evidence that this course meets the goals for a general education course in the natural world category.

Subcommittee Chair's Summary and Final Recommendation:

Final Rating 1

We recommend that this course continue as a general education course in the natural world categories with no changes required.