

Update from the CGEIP Chair on the Application and Review Process for the revision of the general education curriculum is as follows:

CGEIP met in February to review proposals submitted by January 25<sup>th</sup> and will be meeting on March 6<sup>th</sup> & 20<sup>th</sup> to review the courses submitted by February 8<sup>th</sup> and March 1<sup>st</sup> respectively. The following is a summary as of March 1<sup>st</sup>:

147 Proposals (102 Total Courses) Submitted for entrance into the Revised General Education.

84 Courses reviewed by March 1<sup>st</sup> (Courses submitted by January 25<sup>th</sup>)

43 Approved proposals in January & February CGEIP Meetings

39 Denied proposals in January & February CGEIP Meetings (All 39 have been resubmitted for second round review in March)

2 Courses undetermined (Tabled) for final evaluation in March 27<sup>th</sup> meeting

59 Courses to be reviewed on March 6<sup>th</sup>, 20<sup>th</sup>, & 27<sup>th</sup> CGEIP meetings

18 New submissions

39 Resubmissions that were denied in January and February CGEIP meetings

2 Undetermined (Tabled) courses will be considered on March 27<sup>th</sup> CGEIP meeting

Currently, of the 43 courses approved there are courses approved in every area of the revised general education. There were a total of 24 new or major revision courses submitted to the revised general education of which 7 have been reviewed and approved. There were a total of 102 courses submitted to the revised general education and all but five courses in the current general education submitted applications for entrance into the revised general education (Courses that did not apply for the revised general education included: CHM 160, GST 170, ENG 296, PSY 101, & THE 109).

The final review of courses will be conducted in the month of March by CGEIP and the package of all the courses approved for the revised general education structure will be submitted forward to be considered by Faculty Senate in their April 11<sup>th</sup> meeting (all denied courses will have their material submitted to faculty senate with the applications, syllabi, and CGEIP reviews too).

Thank you to all that have worked so hard to prepare the applications and materials for CGEIP to review these past few months.

Sincerely,

Josh Smith  
CGEIP Chair